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Summary
The effects of temperature on sprint swimming ability and muscle contractile properties were examined in northern and southern populations of the holarctic tree frog, Hyla crucifer Wied-Neuwied, acclimated to 20–23°C. Maximal swimming velocities of 29 (southern) and 32 (northern) cm s⁻¹ and stroke frequencies of 4.1 (southern) and 5.5 (northern) strokes s⁻¹ were attained at 30°C, and maximal stroke lengths (i.e. distance moved per stroke) of 8.0 (southern) and 7.4 (northern) cm at 20°C. The thermal dependence of swimming velocity decreases with increasing temperature (e.g. Q₁₀ = 4.0 from 6 to 10°C, 1.2 from 20 to 30°C), as reported for locomotion in other ectothermic vertebrates. Over a temperature range of 1.5–30°C, velocity increases by a factor of 5.5, frequency by a factor of 4, and length by a factor of 1.7. Thus, increased velocity at higher temperatures can be attributed mostly to increased stroke frequency; increments in stroke length contribute less. Muscle contractile properties have similar thermal dependencies to those of other vertebrates: rate processes [including twitch time-to-peak tension (TPT), Twitch half-relaxation time (RT₁/₂), maximal rate of tetanic tension development and isotonic shortening velocity] are much more sensitive to temperature than is force generation (twitch and tetanic tension). Below 8°C, stroke frequency is limited by twitch contraction time (TPT + RT₁/₂), and leg extension in a swimming stroke by TPT. At higher temperatures, the thermal dependence of stroke time is lower than that of contraction time. Neither locomotor nor muscle contractile properties are different between the two populations (except for twitch tension at low temperatures). Inflexibility in the thermal dependence of muscle contraction and locomotion in this species may help to explain differences in breeding phenologies between northern and southern populations.
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Introduction

This study describes and compares the thermal sensitivities of swimming and muscle mechanical performance in northern and southern populations of holarctic tree frogs (*Hyla crucifer*). We investigated the thermal dependence of swimming locomotion in these frogs and the relationship between muscle contractile performance and limb movements during swimming over a range of temperatures. Finally, we compared the thermal sensitivities of swimming and muscle function in animals from different populations of tree frogs sampled from near the southern limit of their distribution (Conant, 1975) and from a more northern area. We wished to determine whether physiological adaptation to different temperatures in the locomotor apparatus has been an important factor underlying the large distributional range of *Hyla crucifer*.

Temperature affects the functional properties of skeletal muscles (Bennett, 1984), as it does most biological processes. Consequently, low temperature diminishes an animal's performance in activities such as running (van Berkum, 1986; Huey & Bennett, 1987) and jumping (John-Alder et al. 1988). In this context, cold animals are at a disadvantage because of reduced locomotor ability. Evolutionary adaptation may partially compensate muscle function for interspecific differences in normal body temperatures (Johnston & Brill, 1984; John-Alder & Bennett, 1987), and cold-tolerant species perform somewhat better at low temperatures than do their warmer counterparts (van Berkum, 1986; Huey & Bennett, 1987; John-Alder et al. 1988). Such compensation cannot generally be induced by short-term thermal acclimation, at least in amphibians (Putnam & Bennett, 1981; Renaud & Stevens, 1983a,b, 1985a,b; Miller, 1982; Rome, 1983; Miller & Zoghby, 1986; Else & Bennett, 1987; Londos & Brooks, 1988). However, few studies have examined whether populations of a single species differ with regard to locomotor thermal physiology when sampled from different thermal environments within the species' distributional range or at different times of the year (Hertz et al. 1983).

Studies on the thermal dependence of locomotion have also provided insight into functional associations between muscle performance and organismal locomotion. For example, limb cycling during sprint running in lizards is apparently limited by muscle twitch contractile kinetics at low temperatures, whereas at higher temperature, limb movements are less temperature-dependent than is muscle performance (Marsh & Bennett, 1985, 1986a,b). Marsh & Bennett (1985, 1986b) suggested that elastic storage and recovery of energy may help to mitigate the effects of temperature on contractile functions, a mechanism that requires the kinetic and potential energy of an animal's body or of its limbs to be converted to strain energy in the elastic elements of the legs. This conversion might occur in two ways. The first would use the momentum of the body and require contact between an animal and some firm, inertial surface such as the ground. The second would use the momentum of a moving limb and would require that limb movement be essentially unimpeded by the external medium (air or water). Neither seems likely in small animals swimming through water. Thus, we might expect the thermal
dependencies of time-related muscle functions and limb cycling to be more similar in water than on land. Conversely, a lower temperature-dependence of limb cycling during swimming would suggest a mechanism other than elastic storage and recovery of energy.

*Hyla crucifer* is abundant and of wide latitudinal distribution in eastern North America. Hedges (1986) places this species in the expanded genus *Pseudacris*; all species in this genus are early, apparently cold-tolerant, breeders. Our southern population, which is occasionally given separate subspecific status (Conant, 1975), was sampled in Gainesville and Tallahassee, Florida, where breeding typically occurs in January and February. Breeding pairs in this southern population have been found in water at temperatures as low as 12°C (J. Travis, personal communication), but minimum field activity temperature may be lower. Our northern population was sampled in Middlesex County, New Jersey. Earliest breeding choruses in this area are heard in the latter half of March, 2 months later than their first appearance in Florida. Individuals in this population are active in water at 8°C, although breeding pairs are not commonly found below 12°C (P. Morin, personal communication).

Materials and methods
Southern frogs were collected in mid-February and northern frogs in late March 1987. Animals were housed in adjacent aquaria at 20–23°C until June. Crickets and *Drosophila* were available as food at all times. Frogs were observed eating, and faecal pellets accumulated in the aquaria. At dissection, food was found in the frogs' stomachs and all had abdominal fat bodies. Average (mean ± s.d.) body masses and snout-vent lengths of frogs used for swimming performance were 0.90 ± 0.217 g, 25.9 ± 1.92 mm (southern, N = 7) and 0.62 ± 0.116 g, 22.6 ± 1.36 mm (northern, N = 10), and of frogs used for muscle contractile performance 1.10 ± 0.129 g, 26.4 ± 1.01 mm (southern, N = 7) and 0.79 ± 0.081 g, 23.3 ± 0.88 mm (northern, N = 7).

Swimming performance
Swimming performance was analysed at eight temperatures between 1.5 and 30°C in a circular tank (radius 75 cm, depth 7 cm). The sequence of temperature exposures was randomized. A grid of 5-cm squares was painted on the bottom of the tank, and swimming was filmed with a video camera (Hitachi no. HV-62U) from a height of 1 m. Frogs typically swim just beneath the water surface. Angular distortion was found to be negligible and has been ignored. Frame-by-frame analysis of video tapes provided time resolution of 33 ms.

Swimming trials were made at two temperatures on each of four consecutive days. Body temperatures were adjusted 1 h prior to swimming trials by placing frogs in boxes containing a small volume of water inside a temperature-controlled cabinet. Water temperatures in the swimming tank were maintained within 0.3°C of the test temperature. To initiate a trial, an animal was transferred quickly from
In most cases, animals immediately began swimming rapidly towards the water's edge. Some animals required prodding at the lowest test temperature. Single fastest strokes (i.e. strokes of shortest duration) were analysed to emphasize maximal performance levels. ['Stride' is the term analogous to 'stroke' that has been used in discussions of terrestrial running (see below) and fish swimming (see Wardle, 1977); we use 'stroke' because it is defined explicitly in terms of swimming (see Urdang, 1968).] Stroke time was measured as the time required from the onset of leg extension in one stroke until the onset of leg extension in the subsequent stroke. Stroke frequency is the reciprocal of stroke time. Stroke length (i.e. distance moved per stroke) was measured as the distance travelled between the onset of one stroke and the onset of the subsequent stroke. The tip of the frog's snout was used as the point of reference for these distance measurements. Stroke velocity was calculated as stroke length/stroke time. For comparisons between groups, data for each individual were normalized to a maximum of one by dividing all values by the highest in a temperature series, and these normalized values were arcsine-transformed prior to statistical analysis by repeated-measures analysis of variance (ANOVA (SAS Institute Inc., 1985).

Contractile measurements

Contractile properties of the isolated plantaris longus (gastrocnemius) muscle, the main extensor of the ankle (Calow & Alexander, 1973), were measured at a series of 10 temperatures between 0 and 35°C. The frogs were weighed and measured and then killed by decapitation. Hind limbs were immediately skinned and placed in chilled amphibian Ringer's solution (120 mmol l⁻¹ NaCl, 2 mmol l⁻¹ KCl, 2 mmol l⁻¹ CaCl₂, 4 mmol l⁻¹ Hepes, 10 mmol l⁻¹ glucose, pH 7.2). The pH was adjusted at 25°C. The pKa of Hepes buffer shifts with temperature by ~0.014°C (Good et al. 1966), which is similar to the change of arterial pH with temperature in frogs (~0.016°C in Rana and Bufo; Howell et al. 1970). Muscle resting length (L₀) was measured using an ocular micrometer with the thigh and tarsus positioned perpendicular to the shank. The distal tendon was then tied with silk suture and severed from the tarsus, and the tibia and femur were cut at midlength, leaving the muscle attached above the knee. This preparation was mounted in a chamber through which thermostatted Ringer's solution bubbled with 100 % O₂ was circulated. The distal tendon was attached via a silver chain to a servo-controlled muscle ergometer (Cambridge Instruments model 300H), and the opposite end of the muscle was anchored by tying the attached portions of the femur and tibia firmly to a stainless-steel support.

The muscles were stimulated to contract via two 15 mm x 5 mm platinum foil electrodes placed near opposite sides of the muscle. Short (0.2 ms) pulses of current were delivered by a Grass S48 stimulator through a current amplifier. Current and muscle length were adjusted to elicit maximal twitch tension at 20°C, which indicated depolarization and contraction of all fibres. Tetanic contractions were induced by pulse trains. The pulse frequency and train duration were
Thermal physiology of swimming in tree frogs

adjusted at each temperature to elicit fused tetanic contraction with a steady plateau of tension; pulse frequency was increased from 30 to 150 Hz and train duration was decreased from 500 to 100 ms between 0 and 35°C. Ergometer output was recorded and analysed using a digital recording oscilloscope (Nicolet model no. 3091).

Isometric and isotonic contractions were recorded first at 20°C, and then repeated at each of a descending series of temperatures to a minimum of 0°C. Temperature was then returned to 20°C, and the contractions repeated as temperature was increased by intervals to 35°C. Switching temperatures required 10 min for the small intervals and 30 min for the increment from 0 to 20°C. At each temperature the contractile series consisted of an isometric twitch, an isometric tetanus, and an isotonic tetanic contraction with tension set at 50% of the peak tension recorded in the preceding tetany. Measured variables included peak twitch and tetanic tensions, time-to-peak twitch tension (TPT), time required for twitch half-relaxation (RT1/2), maximal rate of tetanic tension development, and shortening velocity at 50% maximal tetanic tension. Our purpose was to compare velocities of muscle shortening between populations, and our standardization of load at 50% maximal tetanic tension for the isotonic measurements was arbitrary. Maximal power development during isotonic contractions occurs at 40–50% of maximal tetanic tension in vitro (Marsh & Bennett, 1985, 1986a; John-Alder & Bennett, 1987). Typical loading of contractions in vivo is not known. At least 3 min separated the two tetanic contractions. The full series of measurements required approximately 3 h for each muscle. Following contractile measurements the muscle was dissected free of bone and tendon and weighed. For comparisons of the two populations of frogs, tensions were normalized to a maximum of 1, and normalized values were arcsine-transformed prior to repeated-measures ANOVA.

Results

Swimming performance

No aspect of swimming performance is statistically distinguishable at the 95% probability level between animals from northern and southern populations at any temperature (Table 1). Similarly, Q_{10} and R_{lo} values (the expression R_{lo} is analogous to Q_{10} except that it is calculated for biological capacities, such as stroke length, rather than biological rates, such as stroke velocity; see Bennett, 1984) for performance parameters are indistinguishable between groups, with one exception (Table 2). Thus, normalized swimming data and Q_{10} values have been pooled for an analysis of temperature dependence.

Swimming velocity increases significantly in each temperature interval up to 20°C, but not from 20 to 30°C (Fig. 1). Minimal velocities at 1.5°C are about 17% of maximal.

Stroke frequency is greatest at 30°C and falls to about 25 % of maximal at 1.5°C (Fig. 1). Frequency increases significantly with increasing temperature over most temperature intervals, including that from 20 to 30°C where velocity is unchanged.
Table 1. Swimming velocity, stroke frequency and stroke length (i.e. distance moved per stroke) for northern and southern Hyla crucifer at each test temperature

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Temperature (°C)</th>
<th>Southern</th>
<th>Northern</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(N = 7)</td>
<td>(N = 10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature</td>
<td>Velocity (cm s⁻¹)</td>
<td>Frequency (strokes s⁻¹)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>5.1 ± 0.57</td>
<td>1.0 ± 0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>6.8 ± 3.67</td>
<td>1.2 ± 0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>8.9 ± 2.18</td>
<td>1.4 ± 0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>13.1 ± 1.86</td>
<td>2.1 ± 0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>18.7 ± 6.12</td>
<td>3.0 ± 0.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>22.5 ± 4.00</td>
<td>3.2 ± 0.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>26.4 ± 10.08</td>
<td>3.4 ± 0.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>29.0 ± 11.53</td>
<td>4.1 ± 1.07</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

There are no significant differences at the 95% confidence level between populations for any of the performance parameters. Values are means ± s.d.

Table 2. Thermal ratios (Qlo and Rlo values) for parameters of swimming performance calculated over the indicated temperature intervals

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Temperature range (°C)</th>
<th>Qlo of velocity</th>
<th>Qlo of frequency</th>
<th>Rlo of length</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.5-6</td>
<td>10.9 ± 14.30°</td>
<td>4.9 ± 1.43°</td>
<td>2.2 ± 3.02°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-10</td>
<td>4.0 ± 4.35°</td>
<td>2.0 ± 0.78°</td>
<td>2.2 ± 2.43°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-15</td>
<td>2.3 ± 1.15°</td>
<td>1.5 ± 0.64°</td>
<td>1.4 ± 1.00°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15-20</td>
<td>1.4 ± 0.67°</td>
<td>1.2 ± 0.43°</td>
<td>1.2 ± 0.57°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20-30</td>
<td>1.2 ± 0.54°</td>
<td>1.4 ± 0.45°</td>
<td>0.9 ± 0.40°</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are means ± s.d.; these are pooled data (N = 17). Only the values for Qlo of frequency from 6 to 10°C were significantly different between populations; northern: 6.6 ± 5.06, southern: 2.5 ± 0.82 (P < 0.024). No other differences between populations were significant at the 95% confidence level.

The Qlo values of swimming velocity and stroke frequency are not significantly different except from 10 to 15°C (Table 2; P = 0.0053).

Stroke length (i.e. distance moved per stroke) is less temperature-sensitive than velocity or frequency, changing by less than a factor of 2 over the entire temperature range (Fig. 1). Maximal stroke length is attained at 20°C. The Rlo values of stroke length are significantly lower than those of velocity in most temperature intervals (Table 2). This comparison just fails to attain significance (P = 0.0767) from 10 to 15°C. The Rlo values of stroke length are significantly

The values are means ± s.d.; these are pooled data (N = 17). Only the values for Qlo of frequency from 6 to 10°C were significantly different between populations; northern: 6.6 ± 5.06, southern: 2.5 ± 0.82 (P < 0.024). No other differences between populations were significant at the 95% confidence level. Probability levels of the marked comparisons: *P = 0.013; **P = 0.0019; ***P = 0.0258; ****P = 0.0009; *****P = 0.0053; ***P = 0.0178.
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Stroke length (i.e. distance moved per stroke) is less temperature-sensitive than velocity or frequency, changing by less than a factor of 2 over the entire temperature range (Fig. 1). Maximal stroke length is attained at 20°C. The Rlo values of stroke length are significantly lower than those of velocity in most temperature intervals (Table 2). This comparison just fails to attain significance (P = 0.0767) from 10 to 15°C. The Rlo values of stroke length are significantly

The values are means ± s.d.; these are pooled data (N = 17). Only the values for Qlo of frequency from 6 to 10°C were significantly different between populations; northern: 6.6 ± 5.06, southern: 2.5 ± 0.82 (P < 0.024). No other differences between populations were significant at the 95% confidence level. Probability levels of the marked comparisons: *P = 0.013; **P = 0.0019; ***P = 0.0258; ****P = 0.0009; *****P = 0.0053; ***P = 0.0178.
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Stroke length (i.e. distance moved per stroke) is less temperature-sensitive than velocity or frequency, changing by less than a factor of 2 over the entire temperature range (Fig. 1). Maximal stroke length is attained at 20°C. The Rlo values of stroke length are significantly lower than those of velocity in most temperature intervals (Table 2). This comparison just fails to attain significance (P = 0.0767) from 10 to 15°C. The Rlo values of stroke length are significantly

The values are means ± s.d.; these are pooled data (N = 17). Only the values for Qlo of frequency from 6 to 10°C were significantly different between populations; northern: 6.6 ± 5.06, southern: 2.5 ± 0.82 (P < 0.024). No other differences between populations were significant at the 95% confidence level. Probability levels of the marked comparisons: *P = 0.013; **P = 0.0019; ***P = 0.0258; ****P = 0.0009; *****P = 0.0053; ***P = 0.0178.
Fig. 1. Normalized swimming velocity (●), stroke frequency (○) and stroke length (△) of northern and southern frogs pooled (N = 17). Values are means ± 1 s.e. Paired comparisons were made on arcsine-transformed, normalized values for each performance parameter at consecutive temperatures. Increments in velocity are significant (P < 0.05) for all temperature intervals, except from 20 to 30°C. Increments in frequency are significant for all intervals except from 15 to 20°C. Changes in length are not significant for any interval except from 10 to 15°C (P = 0.006).

Muscle contractile performance

Contractile properties have nearly identical temperature dependences in the northern and southern populations of Hyla crucifer (Figs 2–4). Only the thermal dependence of twitch tension differs significantly between populations.

Maximal tetanic tensions of about 700 kN m⁻² were attained at 15°C (Fig. 2A). This high level of tetanic tension can be attributed to our simple calculation of cross-sectional area (volume/length), which underestimates the true fibre cross-
Fig. 2. (A) Tetanic tension as a function of temperature in northern (●) and southern (○) *Hyla crucifer*. Measurements at 20°C were made initially and again after completion of tests at all lower temperatures. Normalized tetanic tension at 30°C is significantly greater in southern than in northern frogs (*P* = 0.036; repeated-measures ANOVA on arcsine-transformed normalized values). No other differences are significant. (B) Twitch tension vs temperature. Twitch tensions are higher in northern frogs at 0°C (*P* = 0.0023) and 2°C (*P* = 0.0001) and higher in southern frogs at 20°C (*P* = 0.0024), 25°C (*P* = 0.0025) and 30°C (*P* = 0.0123). Statistical analyses were as above.
The thermal ratios (Rlo values) for twitch tension capacities are shown in Table 3. The values are means ± S.D.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Temperature range (°C)</th>
<th>Southern (N = 7)</th>
<th>Northern (N = 7)</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0–2</td>
<td>3.1 ± 0.69</td>
<td>5.7 ± 0.49</td>
<td>0.0584</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2–4</td>
<td>3.6 ± 1.62</td>
<td>1.1 ± 0.94</td>
<td>0.0079</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4–6</td>
<td>1.5 ± 0.79</td>
<td>0.7 ± 0.29</td>
<td>0.1085</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6–10</td>
<td>0.8 ± 0.08</td>
<td>0.6 ± 0.25</td>
<td>0.0139</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10–15</td>
<td>0.7 ± 0.14</td>
<td>0.7 ± 0.11</td>
<td>0.2696</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15–20</td>
<td>0.9 ± 0.28</td>
<td>0.7 ± 0.05</td>
<td>0.3749</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20–25</td>
<td>0.9 ± 0.31</td>
<td>0.7 ± 0.12</td>
<td>0.6010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25–30</td>
<td>1.2 ± 0.24</td>
<td>1.1 ± 0.10</td>
<td>0.4157</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30–35</td>
<td>1.8 ± 0.57</td>
<td>2.3 ± 0.59</td>
<td>0.1544</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are means ± S.D.

difference in optimal temperatures for twitch tension is substantiated by comparisons of thermal ratios (Rlo values; Bennett, 1984) (Table 3). Times required for twitch tension development (TPT) do not differ significantly between northern and southern groups at any temperature (Fig. 3A). Similarly, Q10 values for TPT do not differ between groups except from 30 to 35°C (P = 0.0008). Half-relaxation times (RT1/2) do not differ between groups at any temperature, and Q10 values for RT1/2 differ between groups only from 30 to 35°C (P = 0.0461).

Maximal rates of tetanic tension development and shortening velocity at 50% of peak tetanic tension are generally similar in northern and southern groups and are affected in a similar way by temperature (Fig. 4A,B). Only shortening velocity at 25°C differs significantly between groups (P = 0.0487).

Discussion

Thermal dependence of swimming locomotion

_Hyla crucifer_ attain 80% of maximal swimming velocity at 17–2°C (interpolated from Fig. 1) and show no further significant improvement from 20 to 30°C. Temperature coefficients (Q10 values) of velocity are high at low temperatures and decrease to about 1 at higher temperatures (Table 2). This pattern of pronounced thermal dependence at low temperatures and near thermal independence at higher temperatures is broadly similar to that of other forms of ectothermic vertebrate locomotion (Miller, 1982; Hertz et al. 1983; Marsh & Bennett, 1985, 1986b; Stevenson et al. 1986; van Berkum, 1986; Huey & Bennett, 1987; John-Alder et al. 1988; Londos & Brooks, 1988).

The thermal dependence of swimming velocity is more similar to that of stroke frequency than to that of stroke length (i.e. distance moved per stroke). Thus, whereas increases in frequency and length both usually contribute to an increase in
velocity, most of this increase can be attributed to frequency. [This conclusion is supported by an analysis of single strokes, as in the present case, and by an analysis of series of three consecutive strokes (not shown).] However, velocity and frequency can change independently. For example, velocity does not increase significantly from 20 to 30°C because a significant 21% increase in frequency is offset by a 17% decrease in stroke length. Similarly, in a salamander (Ambystoma), swimming velocity does not change from 10 to 20°C, despite a 10% increase in tail stroke frequency (Else & Bennett, 1987), because of an apparent decrease in stroke length. In lizards running on land, stride length is virtually constant over broad ranges of temperature (e.g. 15–40°C; Marsh & Bennett, 1985, 1986b), and sprint velocity varies directly with stride frequency. The differing role of stride length in swimming and running may be due to frictional drag, which is
important only in water and increases with the square of velocity, thus becoming progressively more significant as animals swim faster.

Temperature effects differ among forms of locomotion, even within a single species. In *Hyla crucifer*, 80% of maximal jump distance is attained at about 13°C (compared to 17.2°C for swimming), and jump distance at 1.5°C is still about 45% of maximal (John-Alder et al. 1988), compared to only 17% of maximal for swimming velocity. Jumping and swimming are powered by the same major extensor muscles (Calow & Alexander, 1973). Thus, these differences may stem from the greater involvement of temperature-sensitive, time-related contractile processes in the repeated leg movements of swimming than in the single leg extension of jumping. The differences probably do not result from the fact that locomotion is on land rather than in water; in contrast to the present observations, terrestrial crawling and walking have higher temperature sensitivities than
swimming in snakes (Stevenson et al. 1985) and salamanders (Else & Bennett, 1987), respectively.

**Thermal dependence of muscle contractile properties**

The effects of temperature on muscle contractile properties in Hyla crucifer are similar to those seen in other vertebrates (see Bennett, 1984). The remarkable thing about this species is the extent to which its muscles retain force-generating capacity at low temperatures, particularly in comparison to those of lizards (see John-Alder & Bennett, 1987) and, less strikingly, to those of other amphibians. There is only a 13% reduction in tetanic tension from 20 to 5°C in H. crucifer compared to about 30% in Rana pipiens, Bufo americanus (Renaud & Stevens, 1984) and Ambystoma tigrinum (Else & Bennett, 1987). Some 13% reduction in tension may be an effect of small changes in the pH of the bathing solution (Renaud & Stevens, 1984). However, the temperature sensitivity of contractile rate functions is quite similar among these species, and there is no indication of optimization of contractile rate functions at low temperatures.

**Contractile limitations on swimming performance**

Twitch contraction time, defined here as the sum of TPT and RT, and interpreted as an approximation of the duration of the state of activation (see Marsh & Bennett, 1985), converges with the time required for half a swimming stroke cycle (the time from the onset of leg extension until the onset of active leg flexion at about 8°C in both populations of tree frogs (Fig. 5)). Furthermore, because of the unique pattern of leg movements in frog swimming, we are able to resolve the time required just for leg extension (i.e. the propulsive phase of a stroke). From 1.5 to 6°C inclusive, leg extension requires about 53% of the time before the onset of active flexion, and the time required for leg extension converges with TPT at about 8°C (Fig. 6). In other words, TPT converges with the time required for about one-quarter of a stroke cycle. Our results extend and strengthen the generality of contractile limitations on leg movements which have previously been reported in lizards and salamanders (Marsh & Bennett, 1985, 1986; Bennett et al. 1986; Else & Bennett, 1987).

On the basis of our video analysis and our measurements of twitch kinetics, the time course of leg extensions at low temperatures suggests that these movements may be powered by single twitch contractions. However, three lines of evidence are contradictory. First, Emerson & de Jongh (1980) reported nearly continuous electromyographic activity in muscles of the iliosacral articulation during the propulsive phase of swimming in three species of frogs (presumably at room temperature). Their observations suggest electrical activity in excess of that required to elicit a twitch response. Second, during avian and mammalian flight, electrical activity begins in wing depressor muscles during the upstroke long before the onset of the downstroke (Hermanson & Altenbach, 1981, 1985; Dial et al. 1988). A similar pattern of electrical activation of leg extensors during flexion may occur during frog swimming. Third, shortening-induced relaxation of tension in
isolated muscles is a well-described phenomenon (Hoyle, 1983). Thus, \textit{in vitro} contraction times probably substantially overestimate \textit{in vivo} times and may therefore be misleading with regard to \textit{in vivo} muscle recruitment. The thermal dependence of electromyographic activity in leg muscles and muscle mechanical function in \textit{vivo} are two issues badly in need of analysis.

Above 8°C, limb cycling has a lower thermal dependence than twitch kinetics. We do not have a mechanistic explanation for this observation (but see Cavagna et al. 1985), but elastic storage and recovery of energy are improbable in such small animals swimming in water.

\textit{Comparison of thermal sensitivity between populations}

There are few differences in thermal sensitivity between the northern and southern populations, twitch tension at low temperatures being the exception. Although this difference might indicate thermal adaptation of the two populations, it is puzzling that twitch contractile kinetics do not also differ between
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Fig. 5. Contraction time (TPT + RT1/2) (circles) and half stroke time (triangles) vs temperature. In both groups, these times converge at 7.5–8°C. Below this temperature, leg movement is apparently limited by contraction time; above this temperature, leg movement has a lower thermal dependence than contraction time. Filled symbols, northern frogs; open symbols, southern frogs.
Fig. 6. Time-to-peak twitch tension (\( TPT \)) and time for leg extension (propulsive phase) (\( \Theta \)) vs temperature. Northern and southern data have been pooled to facilitate presentation. These times converge at about 8°C. Below this temperature, leg extension is apparently limited by TPT. Above 15°C, uncertainty in the time of leg extension because of the time resolution of the video system is indicated by an arrowhead in place of a fixed symbol.

populations at low temperatures. Furthermore, adaptation in muscle function would probably have been the result of selection on whole-animal locomotion, and we found no differences in swimming capacities at low temperature. Thus, the biological significance of the differences in twitch tension is unclear and perhaps unimportant.

Despite the virtual absence of thermal adaptation within the muscles and locomotor performance of these populations of \( Hyla crucifer \), there is considerable evidence of thermal adaptation among species of tree frogs (John-Alder et al. 1988). Indices of thermal sensitivity are strongly correlated with minimum field body temperatures, suggesting an important role of thermal physiology in community dynamics. Our present data suggest that thermal physiological factors may contribute to the seasonal differences in breeding activity between northern and southern \( H. crucifer \). In both regions, water temperatures are about 12°C when breeding activity occurs. In the north, \( H. crucifer \) breed as early as any other tree frog, whereas in the south they breed later than several species of \( Pseudacris \) (P. Morin, personal communication). We suggest that differences in breeding,
phenology between northern and southern populations may be dictated by inflexibility in thermal physiology.
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